Solutions Campaign Website Anti-predation Devices Science

Study	Device	Key Findings
Calver et al. (2007): Reducing the rate of predation on wildlife by pet cats: the efficacy and practicability of collarmounted pounce protectors	CatBib™ CatBib™ with bell	 Reduced number of cats catching and returning wildlife to the home Reduced number of birds and mammals (but not herpetofauna) returned to the home
Gordon et al. (2010): Belled collars reduce catch of domestic cats in New Zealand by half	• Bell	Reduced number of birds and mice (but not rats, lizards, or insects) returned to the home
Hall et al. (2015): Assessing the effectiveness of the Birdsbesafe® antipredation collar cover in reducing predation on wildlife by pet cats in Western Australia	Birdsbesafe®	Reduced number of birds and mammals (but not herpetofauna) returned to the home for rainbow- patterned cover
Nelson et al. (2005): The efficacy of collar- mounted devices in reducing the rate of predation of wildlife by domestic cats	Bell Electronic sonic device	 Reduced number of mammals and birds returned to the home No difference in prey return rates to the home between a single bell, two bells, or the sonic device
Ruxton et al. (2002): Bells reduce predation on wildlife by domestic cats (Felis catus)	• Bell	Reduced number of prey (mammals, birds, amphibians) returned to the home
Willson et al. (2015): Birds be safe: can a novel cat collar reduce avian mortality by domestic cats (<i>Felis catus</i>)?	• Birdsbesafe®	 Reduced number of birds and mammals returned to the home in fall Reduced number of birds returned to the home in spring
Woods et al. (2003): Predation of wildlife by domestic cats <i>Felis catus</i> in Great Britain	• Bell	 Reduced number of mammals (but not birds or herpetofauna) returned to the home